

Individual Report for Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis (IEMS\_341-0\_01: Social Networks Analysis, COMP\_SCI\_341-0\_1: Social Networks Analysis, COMM\_ST\_352-0\_20: Social Network Analysis) (Vsevolod Suschevskiy)

Project Title: **Course and Teacher Evaluations CTEC Spring 2025**

Courses Audience: **100**

Responses Received: **61**

Response Ratio: **61.0%**

## Report Comments

Course and Teacher Evaluations are intended solely for the use of faculty, staff and students of Northwestern University. Any reproduction, republication or redistribution of this site's content is prohibited without the express permission of Northwestern University.

For more information about CTEC Guidelines, please visit our website: <https://www.northwestern.edu/ctec/guidelines>


Northwestern University



Course Evaluations


Teaching Assistant	Course
Vsevolod Suschevskiy	Social Networks Analysis,Social Network Analysis(IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks Analysis,COMP_SCI_341-0_1: Social Networks Analysis,COMM_ST_352-0_20: Social Network Analysis)

DEMOGRAPHICS


Your School



**Your Class****What is your reason for taking the course? (mark all that apply)**

**What was your interest in this subject before taking the course?****TIME-SURVEY QUESTION**


**Estimate the average number of hours per week you spent on this course outside of class and lab time.**



## TA QUESTIONS


Please rate the TA on the following criteria:

### 1. The TA was able to answer the students' questions adequately.




Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Very Low	1	1	5.9%
2	2	0	0.0%
3	3	0	0.0%
4	4	1	5.9%
5	5	3	17.6%
6-Very High	6	12	70.6%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			17
Mean			5.41
Median			6.00
Standard Deviation			1.28

### 2. The TA was well prepared for each session.




Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Very Low	1	1	5.9%
2	2	0	0.0%
3	3	0	0.0%
4	4	1	5.9%
5	5	3	17.6%
6-Very High	6	12	70.6%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			17
Mean			5.41
Median			6.00
Standard Deviation			1.28

### 3. The TA communicated ideas in a clear manner.



Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Very Low	1	1	6.3%
2	2	0	0.0%
3	3	0	0.0%
4	4	1	6.3%
5	5	3	18.8%
6-Very High	6	11	68.8%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			16
Mean			5.38
Median			6.00
Standard Deviation			1.31

### 4. The TA showed strong interest in teaching the course.



Options	Score	Count	Percentage
1-Very Low	1	1	5.9%
2	2	0	0.0%
3	3	0	0.0%
4	4	1	5.9%
5	5	3	17.6%
6-Very High	6	12	70.6%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			17
Mean			5.41
Median			6.00
Standard Deviation			1.28

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

What are the primary strengths and weaknesses of the teaching assistant?

Comments
Great TA
Very knowledgeable!
–
WTA
Easy to understand, explains concepts pretty well, and has great energy.