

Individual Report for Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis(IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks Analysis, COMM_ST_352-0_20: Social Network Analysis, COMP_SCI_341-0_1: Social Networks Analysis) (Vsevolod Suschevskiy)

Project Title: Course and Teacher Evaluations CTEC Spring 2024

Courses Audience: **90** Responses Received: **62** Response Ratio: **68.9%**

Report Comments

Course and Teacher Evaluations are intended solely for the use of faculty, staff and students of Northwestern University. Any reproduction, republication or redistribution of this site's content is prohibited without the express permission of Northwestern University.

For more information about CTEC Guidelines, please visit our website: https://www.northwestern.edu/ctec/guidelines



Creation Date: Tuesday, November 05, 2024

Individual Report for Vsevolod Suschevskiy (Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis(IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks

Northwestern University

Course Evaluations

Teaching Assistant	Course
Vsevolod Suschevskiy	Social Networks Analysis,Social Network Analysis(IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks Analysis,COMM_ST_352-0_20: Social Network Analysis,COMP_SCI_341-0_1: Social Networks Analysis)

DEMOGRAPHICS

Your School

Your School				
	1.6% 14 3.2% 0.0%	.5%		
Summer (0)	1.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	25.8%	53.2%	
[lotal (02)] 0		50	%	100%
Options			Count	Percentage
Education & SP			1	1.6%
Communication			9	14.5%
Graduate School			2	3.2%
KGSM			0	0.0%
McCormick			33	53.2%
Medill			1	1.6%
Music			0	0.0%
Summer			0	0.0%
SPS			0	0.0%
WCAS			16	25.8%

Individual Report for Vsevolod Suschevskiy (Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis (IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks

Your Class

Your Class				
Other (0)	3.2% 6.5% 6.5% 0.0% 0.0%	30.6%	53.2%	
[Total (62)] C		50	%	100%
Options			Count	Percentage
Freshman			2	3.2%
Sophomore			19	30.6%
Junior			33	53.2%
Senior			4	6.5%
Graduate			4	6.5%
Professional			0	0.0%
Other			0	0.0%

What is your reason for taking the course? (mark all that apply)

Distribution requirement (1) 1.6% Major/Minor requirement (39) Elective requirement (20) Non-Degree requirement (2) 3.2% No requirement (1) 1.6% Other requirement (0) 0.0% [Respondent(s) (62)]	31.7%	61.9%
0	50%	100%
Options	Count	Percentage
Distribution requirement	1	1.6%
Major/Minor requirement	39	61.9%
Elective requirement	20	31.7%
Non-Degree requirement	2	3.2%
No requirement	1	1.6%
Other requirement	0	0.0%
Respondent(s)	62	

Individual Report for Vsevolod Suschevskiy (Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis (IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks

What was your interest in this subject before taking the course?

What was your interest in this s	subject t	pefore tak	ting t	he course?
1-Not interested at all (2) 2 (2) 3 (16) 4 (15) 5 (20) 6-Extremely interested (7) [Total (62)]		25.8% 24.2% 32.3% 3%	6	
5 to 65	D	50%	6	100%
Options		Co	unt	Percentage
1-Not interested at all			2	3.2%
2			2	3.2%
3			16	25.8%
4			15	24.2%
5			20	32.3%
6-Extremely interested			7	11.3%

TIME-SURVEY QUESTION

Estimate the average number of hours per week you spent on this course outside of class and lab time.

Estimate the average this course outside	•		week you	u spent on
3 or fewer (23) - 4 - 7 (35) - 8 - 11 (3) - 12 - 15 (0) - 16 - 19 (1) - 20 or more (0) - [Total (62)] -	1.6%	37.1%	56.5%	
()	50%		100%
Options			Count	Percentage
3 or fewer			23	37.1%
4 - 7			35	56.5%
8 - 11			3	4.8%
12 - 15			0	0.0%
16 - 19			1	1.6%
20 or more			0	0.0%

Individual Report for Vsevolod Suschevskiy (Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis (IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks

TA QUESTIONS

Please rate the TA on the following criteria:

1. The TA was able	to answer t	the students' o	question	s adequately.	2. The TA was well p	prepared	for each session	on.	
1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24)	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 17	7.2%	82.89	/o	1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24)	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	17.2%	82.89	/0
[Total (29)] (0	50%		100%	[Total (29)] (0	50%		100%
Options		Score	Count	Percentage	Options		Score	Count	Percentage
1-Very Low		1	0	0.0%	1-Very Low		1	0	0.0%
2		2	0	0.0%	2		2	0	0.0%
3		3	0	0.0%	3		3	0	0.0%
4		4	0	0.0%	4		4	0	0.0%
5		5	5	17.2%	5		5	5	17.2%
6-Very High		6	24	82.8%	6-Very High		6	24	82.8%
Statistics				Value	Statistics				Value
Response Count				29	Response Count				29
Mean				5.83	Mean				5.83
Median				6.00	Median				6.00
Otan de LD 1 1									
Standard Deviation				0.38	Standard Deviation				0.38
3. The TA communic		s in a clear ma	anner.	0.38	Standard Deviation 4. The TA showed s		erest in teaching	g the cou	
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24)	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	in a clear ma	anner. 80.0%		4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23)	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	erest in teaching 20.7%	g the cou	
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)]	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16				4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)]	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%		g the cou	ırse.
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)]	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7%	80.0%		4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)]	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50%		ırse. 79.3%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)]	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7%	80.0%	100%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)]	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50%		ırse. 79.3% 100%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] (Options	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score	80.0% Count	100% Percentage	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)]	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score	Count	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] Options 1-Very Low	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1	80.0% Count 0	100% Percentage 0.0%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1	Count 0	179.3% 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] Options 1-Very Low 2	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2	80.0% Count 0	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2	Count 0 0	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3	80.0% Count 0 0 1	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 3.3%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3	Count 0 0 0	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4	80.0% Count 0 1 1 0	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4	Count 0 0 0 0	T9.3% 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] 0 0 0 0 0 0 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 5	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	80.0% Count 0 1 0 5	100% Percentage 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16.7%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 20.7%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] 0 0 0 0 0 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	80.0% Count 0 1 0 5	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16.7% 80.0%	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 20.7% 79.3%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] (Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High Statistics	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	80.0% Count 0 1 0 5	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16.7% 80.0% Value	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High Statistics	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	JIRSE. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0%
3. The TA communic 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (1) 4 (0) 5 (5) 6-Very High (24) [Total (30)] 0 0 0 0 0 0 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High 5 6-Very High Statistics Response Count	0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16	5.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	80.0% Count 0 1 0 5	100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 16.7% 80.0% Value 30	4. The TA showed s 1-Very Low (0) 2 (0) 3 (0) 4 (0) 5 (6) 6-Very High (23) [Total (29)] Options 1-Very Low 2 3 4 5 6-Very High Statistics Response Count	trong inte 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.7% 50% Score 1 2 3 4 5	Count 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Irse. 79.3% 100% Percentage 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 20.7% 79.3% Value 29

Individual Report for Vsevolod Suschevskiy (Social Networks Analysis, Social Network Analysis (IEMS_341-0_01: Social Networks

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

What are the primary strengths and weaknesses of the teaching assistant?

Comments

Explained things well and was not mean if you didn't know something, which is always a plus.

Love Seva! He's so helpful for the lab assignment and SNAP.

Understanding students questions and giving fast replies.

Great instruction during labs and really knowledge about coding.

Really responsive and responsible. Helped me a lot in answering my questions on lab assignments

supportive, knowledgeable

Seva is very detail-oriented (esp. in regard to math and CS components in labs) and points you to interesting and useful sources that you might not have expected.

SOOOO helpful and so responsive

I loved how he explained the labs

Super passionate about everything! Loved the lab videos and thought they were extremely helpful!

THANK YOU SEVA FOR SAVING MY LABS AND SNAP! You're are very helpful.

He was helpful answering questions and his lab videos were very helpful.